

## REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES SUPREME COURT Manila

## SECOND DIVISION

## NOTICE

Sirs/Mesdames:

Please take notice that the Court, Second Division, issued a Resolution dated **14 September 2020** which reads as follows:

"G.R. No. 252288 (Julio Cabanero y Bautista v. People of the Philippines). – After a judicious study of the case, the Court resolves to DENY the instant petition<sup>1</sup> and AFFIRM the June 25, 2019 Decision<sup>2</sup> and the March 3, 2020 Resolution<sup>3</sup> of the Court of Appeals (CA) in CA-G.R. CR No. 41115 for failure of petitioner Julio Cabanero y Bautista (petitioner) to sufficiently show that the CA committed any reversible error in affirming his conviction for two (2) counts of the complex crime of Attempted *Estafa* through Falsification of Commercial Documents, defined and penalized under paragraph 1 (b), Article 315 and Article 172 of the Revised Penal Code, respectively.

As correctly ruled by the CA, all the elements of the crimes charged had been sufficiently established, as it was shown that: (*a*) petitioner was entrusted with the safekeeping of a checkbook containing blank and unsigned checks belonging to the Dr. Carl E. Balita Review Center (CBRC); and (*b*) petitioner had unduly attempted to convert two (2) checks to pay for his personal loan obligation by falsely making it appear that CBRC issued said checks in favor of Frontrow Enterprises Philippines, Inc. in the amounts of P70,000.00 and P60,000.00.<sup>4</sup> It bears stressing that factual findings of trial courts, especially when affirmed by the CA, deserve respect and finality by this Court.<sup>5</sup> Although there are recognized exceptions to this rule,<sup>6</sup> none are applicable in the instant case.

SO ORDERED. (Baltazar-Padilla, J., on leave.)"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Rollo*, pp. 12-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id. at 33-44. Penned by Associate Justices Eduardo B. Peralta, Jr. with Associate Justices Ramon R. Garcia and Gabriel T. Robeniol, concurring.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Id. at 46-47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See id. at 40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Pacific Airways Corporation v. Tonda, 441 Phil. 156, 162 (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Spouses Aboitiz v. Spouses Po, G.R. Nos. 208450 and 208497, June 5, 2017, 825 SCRA 457, 499.

Resolution

By authority of the Court:

-2-

TERESITA AQUINO TUAZON Deputy Division Clerk of Court 10/9 0 9 OCT 2020

PUBLIC ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (reg) Special & Appealed Cases Service Department of Justice PAO-DOJ Agencies Building NIA Road corner East Avenue 1104 Diliman, Quezon City

OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL (reg) 134 Amorsolo Street 1229 Legaspi Village Makati City

HON. PRESIDING JUDGE (reg) Regional Trial Court, Branch 98 Quezon City (Crim. Case No. Q-10-166761 & 762) JUDGMENT DIVISION (x) Supreme Court, Manila

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE (x) LIBRARY SERVICES (x) [For uploading pursuant to A.M. No. 12-7-1-SC]

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ATTORNEY (x) OFFICE OF THE REPORTER (x) Supreme Court, Manila

COURT OF APPEALS (x) Ma. Orosa Street Ermita, 1000 Manila CA-G.R. CR No. 41115

*Please notify the Court of any change in your address.* GR252288. 09/14/2020(227)URES