



REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES SUPREME COURT

Manila

SECOND DIVISION

NOTICE

Sirs/Mesdames:

Please take notice that the Court, Second Division, issued a Resolution dated 10 February 2020 which reads as follows:

"G.R. No. 249902 (Atilano Lavador v. People of the Philippines). -After a judicious study of the case, the Court resolves to DENY the instant petition and AFFIRM with MODIFICATION the November 29, 2018 Decision¹ and the August 29, 2019 Resolution² of the Court of Appeals (CA) in CA-G.R. CEB-CR No. 02969 for failure of petitioner Atilano Lavador (petitioner) to sufficiently show that the CA committed any reversible error in affirming his conviction for two (2) counts of the crime of Frustrated Homicide, defined and penalized under Article 249 of the Revised Penal Code. Accordingly, petitioner is sentenced to suffer the following penalties: (a) in Criminal Case No. DNO-2720, the penalty of imprisonment for an indeterminate period of six (6) years of prision correccional, as minimum, to eight (8) years and one (1) day of prision mayor, as maximum, and to pay private respondent Narciso Bayo (Narciso) the amounts of ₱25,000.00 as temperate damages, ₱30,000.00 as civil indemnity, and ₱30,000.00 as moral damages; and (b) in Criminal Case No. DNO-2721, the penalty of imprisonment for an indeterminate period of six (6) years of prision correccional, as minimum, to eight (8) years and one (1) day of prision mayor, as maximum, and to pay private respondent Erwin Sumargo (Erwin) the amounts of ₱204,622.87 as actual damages, ₱30,000.00 as civil indemnity, and ₱30,000.00 as moral damages. Moreover, all monetary awards shall earn an interest at the legal rate of six percent (6%) per annum from the date of finality of this Resolution until full payment.

As correctly ruled by the CA, all the elements³ of the crimes charged were successfully established by the prosecution, as it was shown that petitioner, with

Id. at 64-67. Penned by Associate Justice Marilyn B. Lagura-Yap, with Associate Justices Emily R. Aliño-Geluz and Dorothy P. Montejo-Gonzaga.

Rollo, pp. 34-46. Penned by Associate Justice Marilyn B. Lagura-Yap, with Associate Justices Louis P. Acosta and Emily R. Aliño-Geluz, concurring.

In cases of Frustrated Homicide, the prosecution must prove beyond reasonable doubt that: (a) the accused intended to kill his victim, as manifested by his use of a deadly weapon in his assault; (b) the victim sustained a fatal or mortal wound but did not die because of timely medical assistance; and (c) none of the qualifying circumstances for murder under Article 248 of the RPC, as amended, are present. (See Miranda v. People, G.R. No. 234528, January 23, 2019, citing De Guzman, Jr. v. People, 748 Phil. 452, 458 [2014].)

the use of a deadly firearm, inflicted fatal gunshot wounds on Narciso and Erwin, which could have resulted in their deaths if not for the timely medical intervention given to them.⁴ It bears stressing that factual findings of trial courts, especially when affirmed by the CA, deserve respect and finality by this Court,⁵ and although there are recognized exceptions to this rule,⁶ none of which obtain in this case.

SO ORDERED."

Very truly yours,

TERESITA ACUINO TUAZON
Deputy Division Clerk of Court 9 3/11

*PUBLIC ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (reg) Regional Special & Appealed Cases Unit 3F, Taft Commercial Center Metro Colon Carpark, Osmeña Boulevard Brgy. Kalubihan, 6000 Cebu City

*OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL (reg) 134 Amorsolo Street 1229 Legaspi Village Makati City

HON. PRESIDING JUDGE (reg) Regional Trial Court, Branch 25 Danao City, Cebu (DNO-2720 & DNO-2721) JUDGMENT DIVISION (x) Supreme Court, Manila

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE (x) LIBRARY SERVICES (x) [For uploading pursuant to A.M. No. 12-7-1-SC]

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ATTORNEY (x)
OFFICE OF THE REPORTER (x)
Supreme Court, Manila

COURT OF APPEALS (reg) Visayas Station Cebu City CA-G.R. CEB CR No. 02969

*with copy of CA decision dated 29 Nov. 2018 & CA resolution dated 29 August 2019 *Please notify the Court of any change in your address.* GR249902. 02/10/20(273)URES

⁴ See *rollo*, pp. 39-40.

See Pacific Airways Corporation v. Tonda, 441 Phil. 156, 162 (2002).

See Spouses Aboitiz v. Spouses Po, G.R. Nos. 208450 and 208497, June 5, 2017, 825 SCRA 457, 499.