

Republic of the Philippines Supreme Court Manila

SUPREME COURT OF THE PHILIPPINES

MAR 1 3 2020

(166)

THIRD DIVISION

NOTICE

Sirs/Mesdames:

Please take notice that the Court, Third Division, issued a Resolution dated February 12, 2020, which reads as follows:

"G.R. No. 239086 (PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, plaintiffappellee v. MICHAEL ANGELO ULITIN a.k.a. "Lelok," defendantappellant). — The Court of Appeals elevated the records of this case to this Court on May 25, 2018¹ pursuant to its January 12, 2018 Resolution,² which gave due course to the Notice of Appeal³ filed by accused-appellant Michael Angelo Ulitin, also known as "Lelok."

In its June 27, 2018 Resolution,⁴ this Court noted the records of this case forwarded by the Court of Appeals and required the parties to file their respective supplemental briefs.

In its October 17, 2018 and February 20, 2019 Resolution, this Court noted the separate manifestations filed by the Office of the Solicitor General⁵ and accused-appellant,⁶ stating that they would no longer file supplemental briefs and that the plaintiff-appellee would adopt its appellee's brief as its supplemental brief.

After an evaluation of the records of this case, this Court resolves to **DISMISS** the Appeal of accused-appellant for his failure to sufficiently show reversible error in the assailed Court of Appeals October 30, 2017 Decision⁷ warranting the exercise of this Court's appellate jurisdiction.

WHEREFORE, the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Court of Appeals are AFFIRMED. Accused-appellant Michael Angelo

¹ *Rollo*, p. 1.

² CA *rollo*, p. 131.

³ CA *rollo*, pp. 121–122.

⁴ *Rollo*, pp. 20–21.

⁵ Id. at 15–18.

⁶ Id. at 26–28.

⁷ Id. at 2-14. The Decision dated October 30, 2017 was penned by Associate Justice Zenaida T. Galapate-Laguilles and concurred in by Associate Justices Magdangal M. De Leon and Franchito N. Diamante of the Fifth Division, Court of Appeals, Manila.

Ulitin a.k.a. "Lelok" is found **GUILTY** beyond reasonable doubt of rape punished under Article 266-A of the Revised Penal Code, and is sentenced to suffer the penalty of *reclusion perpetua*. He is also **DIRECTED** to pay the victim, AAA, P75,000.00 as moral damages, P75,000.00 as civil indemnity, and P75,000.00 as exemplary damages.⁸ These amounts shall earn interest at the rate of six percent (6%) *per annum* from the date of finality of this Resolution until fully paid.⁹

SO ORDERED."

Very truly yours,

MISAEL DOMINGO C. BATTUNG III

Division Clerk of Court

Special & Appealed Cases Service PUBLIC ATTORNEY'S OFFICE DOJ Agencies Building East Avenue cor. NIA Road 1104 Diliman, Quezon City

COURT OF APPEALS CA G.R. CR HC No. 08027 1000 Manila

OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL 134 Amorsolo Street 1229 Legaspi Village, Makati City

The Presiding Judge REGIONAL TRIAL COURT Branch 84, Malolos City 3000 Bulacan (Crim. Case No. 77-M-2013)

CSSupt. Gerardo F. Padilla Superintendent New Bilibid Prison North BUREAU OF CORRECTIONS 1770 Muntinlupa City

Mr. Michael Angelo "Lelok" B. Ulitin c/o The Superintendent New Bilibid Prison BUREAU OF CORRECTIONS 1770 Muntinlupa City PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE Supreme Court, Manila [For uploading pursuant to A.M. 12-7-1-SC]

LIBRARY SERVICES Supreme Court, Manila

Judgment Division JUDICIAL RECORDS OFFICE Supreme Court, Manila

239086

len/

9

(166) URES

People v. Jugueta, 783 Phil. 806 (2016) [Per J. Peralta, En Banc].

Nacar v. Gallery Frames, 716 Phil. 267 (2013) [Per J. Peralta, En Banc].