

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES SUPREME COURT Manila

SECOND DIVISION

NOTICE

Sirs/Mesdames:

Please take notice that the Court, Second Division, issued a Resolution dated 13 July 2020 which reads as follows:

"G.R. No. 251611 (SAMA International Recruitment Agency Company v. Neptalie Sumbrana Felipe). – This is a Petition for Review on Certiorari under Rule 45 assailing the Resolution¹ dated 15 January 2020 and the Resolution² dated 26 July 2019 of the Court of Appeals (CA) in CA-G.R. SP No.160019 which found no grave abuse of discretion amounting to lack or excess of jurisdiction committed by the National Labor Relations Commission (NLRC) when it upheld in its Decision³ dated 27 September 2018 in NLRC NCR Case No. (L) 10-15583-17/NLRC LAC No. 05-000313-18-OFW the Decision⁴ of the Labor Arbiter dated 30 January 2018 which declared that Felipe was constructively dismissed.

When there is a concurrence of both the decisions of the NLRC and the Labor Arbiter, courts ought to be more prudent in the exercise of its *certiorari* jurisdiction in order to allow greater stability in the marketplace because, after all, labor law and policy mandate speedy, efficient, and effective resolution of employer-employee controversies by specialized labor tribunals who have expertise on the matter and whose decisions must, as much as possible carry finality.

The Court is precluded from doing an independent review of this factual matter since it has already been decided by the labor tribunals, unless the CA, in the *certiorari* petition, ascertains that the NLRC acted with grave abuse of discretion. Absent such determination, factual findings of the NLRC are deemed conclusive and binding even on this Court.⁵ In light of

Penned by Associate Justice Apolinario D. Bruselas, Jr., with Associate Justices Germano Francisco D. Legaspi and Ruben Reynaldo G. Roxas, concurring; id. at 32-39.

Penned by Labor Arbiter Julia Cecily Coching Sosito; id. at 61-67.

Bugaoisan v. OWI Group Manila, G.R. No. 226208, February 7, 2018.

NIT

Penned by Associate Justice Apolinario D. Bruselas, Jr., with Associate Justices Germano Francisco D. Legaspi and Ruben Reynaldo G. Roxas, concurring; *rollo*, pp. 41-42.

Penned by Commissioner Mercedes R. Posada-Lacap, with Presiding Commissioner Grace E. Maniquiz-Tan and Commissioner Dolores M. Peralta-Beley, concurring; id. at 93-105.

the foregoing, the Court considers the findings of fact of the Labor Arbiter, as affirmed by the NLRC, final and conclusive, in the absence of proof that the latter acted without, in excess of or with grave abuse of discretion amounting to lack or excess of jurisdiction.6

After a judicious study of the case, the Court resolves to DENY the instant petition and AFFIRM in toto the Resolution dated 15 January 2020 and Resolution dated 26 July 2019 of the Court of Appeals in in CA-G.R. SP No.160019 which found no grave abuse of discretion amounting to lack or excess of jurisdiction committed by the NLRC.

SO ORDERED." (J. Gaerlan, designated Additional Member per Special Order No. 2780 dated May 11, 2020.)

Very truly yours

on Clerk of Court

2 8 AUG 2020

LAGUESMA MAGSALIN CONSULTA & GASTARDO (reg) Counsel for Petitioner Unit 705, Prestige Tower, F. Ortigas, Jr. Road Ortigas Center, Pasig City

ATTY. BENJAMIN T. BAUI, JR. (reg) Counsel for Respondent 20 Macopa Street, Northview II Batasan Hills, 1126 Quezon City

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS COMMISSION (reg) PPSTA Building, Banawe Street cor. Quezon Avenue 1100 Quezon City (NLRC Case No. [L] 10-15583-17 NLRC LAC No. 05-000313-18-OFW)

JUDGMENT DIVISION (x) Supreme Court, Manila

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE (x) LIBRARY SERVICES (x) [For uploading pursuant to A.M. No. 12-7-SC]

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ATTORNEY (x) OFFICE OF THE REPORTER (x) Supreme Court, Manila

COURT OF APPEALS (x) Ma. Orosa Street Ermita, 1000 Manila CA-G.R. SP No. 160019

Please notify the Court of any change in your address. GR251611. 7/13/2020(12)URES

Id.