

11/2

## REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES SUPREME COURT Manila

## SECOND DIVISION

## NOTICE

Sirs/Mesdames:

Please take notice that the Court, Second Division, issued a Resolution dated **18 November 2019** which reads as follows:

"G.R. No. 249158 (Commissioner of Internal Revenue v. FEATI University, Inc.)

After a judicious study of the case, the Court resolves to **DENY** the instant petition<sup>1</sup> and **AFFIRM** the August 27, 2019 Decision<sup>2</sup> of the Court of Tax Appeals *En Banc* (CTA *EB*) in CTA EB No. 1857 for failure of petitioner Commissioner of Internal Revenue (CIR) to sufficiently show that the CTA *EB* committed any reversible error in holding that the CIR failed to properly serve respondent FEATI University, Inc. (respondent) with a Final Assessment Notice<sup>3</sup> (FAN) and Formal Letter of Demand,<sup>4</sup> thus invalidating the subsequently issued Warrant of Distraint and/or Levy.<sup>5</sup>

As correctly ruled by the CTA *EB*, while a letter properly sent through registered mail raises a disputable presumption that it has been duly received by the addressee in the ordinary course of mail, this presumption is controverted by a direct denial of receipt thereof by the latter.<sup>6</sup> It has been held by this Court that if the taxpayer denies having received an assessment from the Bureau of Internal Revenue, it then becomes incumbent upon the latter to prove by competent evidence that such notice was indeed received by the addressee.<sup>7</sup> Moreover, the CTA EB correctly held that in connection with such proof, the CIR must also show that the FAN was actually received by respondent's duly authorized agent.8 Under existing jurisprudence, for notice by mail, it must appear that the same was served on the addressee or a duly authorized agent of the addressee, as this requirement is apparent on the face of the registry return receipt itself.9 Here, the CTA EB correctly concluded that the CIR failed to discharge such burden of evidence.<sup>10</sup> It is an oft-repeated rule that the Court will only disturb the CTA's findings on appeal if the same is not supported by substantial evidence, or there is a showing of gross error or abuse on the part of the Tax Court,<sup>11</sup> which does not obtain in this case.

- <sup>8</sup> See *rollo*, p. 58.
- <sup>9</sup> *Ting v. Court of Appeals*, 398 Phil. 481, 494 (2000).
- <sup>10</sup> See *rollo*, pp. 58-60.
- <sup>1</sup> CIR v. Manila Electric Co., 735 Phil. 547, 561 (2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Rollo*, pp. 10-23.

Id. at 54-63. Penned by Associate Justice Cielito N. Mindaro-Grulla with Presiding Justice Roman G. Del Rosario and Associate Justices Juanito C. Castañeda, Jr., Erlinda P. Uy, Esperanza R. Fabon-Victorino, Ma. Belen M. Ringpis-Liban, Catherine T. Manahan, Jean Marie A. Bacorro-Villena, and Maria Rowena Modesto-San Pedro, concurring.

Not attached to the *rollo*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Not attached to the *rollo*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Not attached to the rollo.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See *rollo*, p. 59.

See CIR v. GJM Philippines Manufacturing, Inc., 781 Phil. 816, 823 (2016).

The Court further resolves to **GRANT** the CIR's motion for extension<sup>12</sup> of thirty (30) days from the expiration of the reglementary period within which to file a petition for review on *certiorari*.

-2-

**SO ORDERED.** (INTING, J., on official leave. HERNANDO, J., on leave. ZALAMEDA, J., designated as Additional Member per Special Order No. 2727 dated October 25, 2019.)"

Very truly yours, TERESITA **Ö**ŪINO TUAZON Deputy Div on Clerk of Court 🔓 0 7 JAN 2020

OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL (reg) 134 Amorsolo Street 1229 Legaspi Village Makati City

MATA-PEREZ TAMAYO & FRANCISCO (reg) Counsel for Respondent Unit 15A, ACT Tower, 135 H.V. Dela Costa Streets Salcedo Village, Makati City

COURT OF TAX APPEALS (reg) National Government Center Agham Road, 1104 Diliman Quezon City CTA EB No. 1857 JUDGMENT DIVISION (x) Supreme Court, Manila

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE (x) LIBRARY SERVICES (x) [For uploading pursuant to A.M. No. 12-7-1-SC]

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ATTORNEY (x) OFFICE OF THE REPORTER (x) Supreme Court, Manila

*Please notify the Court of any change in your address.* GR249158. 11/18/19(110)URES

<sup>2</sup> *Rollo*, pp. 3-5.

(110)URES

Resolution