

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES SUPREME COURT Manila

SUPREME COURT OF THE PHILIPPINES BY: TIME

## SECOND DIVISION

## NOTICE

Sirs/Mesdames:

Please take notice that the Court, Second Division, issued a Resolution dated **11 November 2019** which reads as follows:

<sup>4</sup>G.R. No. 242738 (Rizaldo Bigoy, Archimedes Asilo, Celeste Bañez, Rosanna Regis, Allan Cobacha, *et al. v.* Jaime Paul Bustos Gamboa, Marcelino Bustos Gamboa, Anita Gamboa Enriquez, Adela Gamboa Aguilar, Alicia Gamboa Duenas, *et al.*)

After a judicious study of the case, the Court resolves to **DENY** the instant petition<sup>1</sup> and **AFFIRM** the May 9, 2018 Decision<sup>2</sup> and the October 2, 2018 Resolution<sup>3</sup> of the Court of Appeals (CA) in CA-G.R. CV No. 106180 for failure of petitioners Rizaldo Bigoy, Archimedes Asilo, Celeste Bañez, Rosanna Regis, Allan Cobacha, *et al.* (petitioner) to sufficiently show that the CA committed any reversible error in affirming the denial<sup>4</sup> of their Motion for Limited and Partial Intervention,<sup>5</sup> with a Complaint-in-Intervention.<sup>6</sup>

As correctly ruled by the CA, intervention is not a matter of absolute right and may be permitted only when the applicant satisfies the statutory requirements for intervention.<sup>7</sup> The denial of a motion for intervention is correctible only if shown to be arbitrary or capricious,<sup>8</sup> which petitioners' failed to prove in this case. As correctly observed by the CA, petitioners' intervention will unduly delay the settlement of the estate proceedings of the late Adela Blanco *Vda*. de Bustos and Margarita Blanco *Vda*. de Moreta. Furthermore, their rights may be fully protected in a separate proceeding.<sup>9</sup> It is settled that a probate court's jurisdiction is limited in nature; thus, dispute as to property rights over properties included in the estate should be threshed out in an ordinary action before a court of general jurisdiction.<sup>10</sup> Consequently, the herein dismissal of petitioners' petition is without prejudice to the filing of the proper action before a court of general jurisdiction to enforce their alleged right of first refusal.

T

2

4

<sup>8</sup> Intestate Estate of Julian A. Wolfson v. Cruz, 151 Phil. 191, 210 (1973).

<sup>9</sup> See *rollo*, pp. 57-58.

palve

See rollo, p. 128. Also referred to as "Celeste Banez" in some parts of the rollo.

Id. at 17-42-A.

Id. at 47-60. Penned by Associate Justice Marie Christine Azcarraga-Jacob with Associate Justices Celia C. Librea-Leagogo and Samuel H. Gaerlan, concurring. Id. at 63-68.

See RTC Order dated November 13, 2014, signed by Presiding Judge Tita Marilyn Payoyo-Villordon; id. at 195-197.

Id. at 123-124.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Id. at 130-134.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See id. at 55. See also *Heirs of Arce, Sr. v. Department of Agrarian Reform*, G.R. No. 228503, July 25, 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Aranas v. Mercado, 724 Phil. 174, 185-186 (2014).

**SO ORDERED.** (INTING, J., on official leave. ZALAMEDA, J., designated as Additional Member per Special Order No. 2727 dated October 25, 2019.) "

Very truly yours, 16 W. TERESITA AQU NOTUAZON Deputy Division Clerk of Court 2 2 NOV 2019

SANTO LAW OFFICE (reg) (ATTY. SYLWYN NABOR V. MENDOZA) Counsel for Petitioners Apitong Road, Tacloban City, Leyte 6500

ATTY. MANUEL B. IMBONG (reg) Counsel for Respondents 58-A Lime Street Concepcion II, Marikina City

HON. PRESIDING JUDGE (reg) Regional Trial Court, Branch 224 Quezon City (SP Case No. Q-99-395570)

**A(49)URES** 

JUDGMENT DIVISION (x) Supreme Court, Manila

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE (x) LIBRARY SERVICES (x) [For uploading pursuant to A.M. No. 12-7-1-SC]

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ATTORNEY (x) OFFICE OF THE REPORTER (x) Supreme Court, Manila

COURT OF APPEALS (x) Ma. Orosa Street Ermita, 1000 Manila CA-G.R. CV No. 106180

\*For this resolution only *Please notify the Court of any change in your address.* GR242738. 11/11/19A(49)URES