

<b>REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPIN</b>
SUPREME COURT
Manila



ha hu

## SECOND DIVISION

## NOTICE

Sirs/Mesdames:

Please take notice that the Court, Second Division, issued a Resolution dated 11 November 2019 which reads as follows:

<sup>°</sup>G.R. No. 242111 (Manolito E. Gamboa v. Elena A. Lopez, represented by Tomas A. Lopez)

After a judicious study of the case, the Court resolves to **DENY** the instant petition<sup>1</sup> and AFFIRM the November 29, 2017 Decision<sup>2</sup> and the September 14, 2018 Resolution<sup>3</sup> of the Court of Appeals (CA) in CA-G.R. CV No. 105255 for failure of petitioner Manolito E. Gamboa (petitioner) to sufficiently show that the CA committed any reversible error in affirming the court a quo's nullification<sup>4</sup> of the Assignment of Rights<sup>5</sup> and Deed of Absolute Sale<sup>6</sup> involving the subject property on the ground of forgery.

As correctly ruled by the CA, respondent Elena A. Lopez, represented by Tomas A. Lopez, was able to prove that Bienvenido P. Molina's signatures in the aforesaid documents were forged, notwithstanding her failure to present an expert witness.<sup>7</sup> It is settled that the trial court may validly determine forgery from its own independent examination of the documentary evidence at hand. This the trial court judge can do without necessarily resorting to experts, especially when the question involved is mere handwriting similarity or dissimilarity, which can be determined by a visual comparison of specimen of the questioned signatures with those of the currently existing ones,8 as in this case. Moreover, absent any of the recognized exceptions, factual findings of the trial court, when affirmed by the CA, are binding upon the Court.9

See Gatan v. Vinarao, G.R. No. 205912, October 18, 2017, 842 SCRA 602, 618, citing Bank of the Philippine Islands v. Leobrera, 461 Phil. 461, 469 (2003).



<sup>4</sup> Rollo, pp. 3-13.

<sup>2</sup> Id. at 33-46. Penned by Associate Justice Zenaida T. Galapate-Laguilles with Associate Justices Magdangal M. De Leon and Franchito N. Diamante, concurring.

Id. at 47-48. Penned by Associate Justice Zenaida T. Galapate-Laguilles with Associate Justices Sesinando E. Villon and Franchito N. Diamante, concurring.

See RTC Decision dated October 3, 2014, penned by Presiding Judge Jean Marie A. Bacorro-Villena; RTC Records, pp. 963-977. Not attached to the rollo.

<sup>6</sup> Not attached to the rollo.

<sup>7</sup> 

See rollo, pp. 42-43. 8

Manzano, Jr. v. Garcia, 677 Phil. 376, 384 (2011), citing Estacio v. Jaranilla, 462 Phil. 723, 733 (2003).

SO ORDERED. (INTING, J., on official leave. ZALAMEDA, J., designated as Additional Member per Special Order No. 2727 dated October 25, 2019.)

Very truly yours,

TERESITA JINO TUAZON of Court My 11/24 Deputy Division

CHING MENDOZA BIOLENA AND PARTNERS (reg) Suites 2503-2504 Atlanta Centre 31 Annapolis St., Greenhills San Juan, Metro Manila

ATTY. CESAR L. EVANGELISTA (reg) Counsel for Respondent No. 1352 L. Avelino St. Pandacan, Manila

ROSERO LAZARO & SABILLO LAW OFFICES (reg) Co-Counsel for Respondents 25C Perpetual Drive, Sta. Teresita Malanday, Marikina City

HON. PRESIDING JUDGE (reg) Regional Trial Court, Branch 28 Manila (Civil Case No. 05-112236)

JUDGMENT DIVISION (x) Supreme Court, Manila

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE (x) LIBRARY SERVICES (x) [For uploading pursuant to A.M. No. 12-7-SC]

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ATTORNEY (x) OFFICE OF THE REPORTER (x) Supreme Court, Manila

COURT OF APPEALS (x) Ma. Orosa Street Ermita, 1000 Manila CA-G.R. CV No. 105255

*Please notify the Court of any change in your address.* GR242111. 11/11/2019A(47)URES

A(47)URES