

## Republic of the Philippines Supreme Court Manila

## FIRST DIVISION

## NOTICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE PHUIPPINES

Sirs/Mesdames:

Please take notice that the Court, First Division, issued a Resolution

dated March 9, 2015 which reads as follows:

"G.R. No. 215567 (Russel Malpica Mance v. People of the Philippines). - The petitioner's motion for an extension of thirty (30) days within which to file a petition for review on certiorari is GRANTED, counted from the expiration of the reglementary period.

After a judicious perusal of the records, the Court resolves to **DENY** the instant petition and **AFFIRM** the June 27, 2014 Decision<sup>1</sup> and November 19, 2014 Resolution<sup>2</sup> of the Court of Appeals (CA) in CA-G.R. CR No. 33931 for failure of Russel Malpica Mance (petitioner) to sufficiently show that the CA committed any reversible error in upholding his conviction for the crime of Robbery under Article 293 of the Revised Penal Code, sentencing him and his co-accused Cristobal Daniel Adana (Adana) to suffer the indeterminate penalty of four (4) months and one (1) day of *arresto mayor*, as minimum, to six (6) years, one (1) month, and eleven (11) days of *prision mayor*, as maximum, and ordering them to return the stolen amount of  $\mathbb{P}500.00$ , as well as to pay moral damages of  $\mathbb{P}20,000.00$ .<sup>3</sup>

As the CA correctly pointed out, petitioner acted in conspiracy with his co-accused Adana, considering that: (a) he stood as a lookout; (b) he was the one to whom the money taken from the victim was given; and (c)

- over - two (2) pages .....

17

<sup>1</sup> *Rollo*, pp. 31-38. Penned by Associate Justice Rosmari D. Carandang with Associate Justices Marlene Gonzales-Sison and Danton Q. Bueser, concurring.

X

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id. at 40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See *Pablo v. People*, 496 Phil. 223, 230 (2005).

he, together with Adana, provided the get-away vehicle through which all of them escaped. Verily, to be a conspirator, one need not participate in every detail of the execution; he need not even take part in every act. Each conspirator may be assigned separate and different tasks which may appear unrelated to one another but, in fact, constitute a whole collective effort to achieve a common criminal objective. Once conspiracy is shown, the act of one is the act of all the conspirators. The precise extent or modality of participation of each of them becomes secondary, since all the conspirators are principals,<sup>4</sup> as petitioner in this case.

## SO ORDERED."

Very truly yours,

LIBRADA C. BUENA Deputy Division Clerk of Court

PUBLIC ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Counsel for Petitioner DOJ Agencies Bldg. Diliman 1128 Quezon City Court of Appeals (x) Manila (CA-G.R. CR No. 33931)

The Solicitor General (x) Makati City

The Hon. Presiding Judge Regional Trial Court, Br. 93 San Pedro 4023 Laguna (Crim. Case No. 4640-SPL)

Public Information Office (x) Library Services (x) Supreme Court (For uploading pursuant to A.M. No. 12-7-1-SC)

Judgment Division (x) Supreme Court

SR

People v. Pondivida, G.R. No. 188969, February 27, 2013, 692 SCRA 217, 224; citations omitted.