MALACAÑANG Manila

BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE PHILIPPINES

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 67

IMPOSING THE PENALTY OF SUSPENSION FOR ONE (1) MONTH WITHOUT PAY ON 2ND ASSISTANT PROVINCIAL PROSECUTOR ARMANDO V. CORTES OF DIGOS, DAVAO DEL SUR

This refers to the administrative complaint filed by Ponciano Layug against 2nd Assistant Provincial Prosecutor Armando V. Cortes of Digos, Davao del Sur.

The complaint stemmed from a perjury case (TBP No. 86-02241) filed by the complainant, Ponciano Layug, before the Tanodbayan on September 29, 1986.

Initially, the preliminary investigation of the aforesaid cases was handled by Prosecutor Joel Hipe but was later re-assigned to Prosecutor Romeo Albarracin. After the parties submitted their memorandum the case was re-assigned to respondent-prosecutor.

Complainant alleged that: a) respondentprosecutor dismissed his complaint for perjury (TBP No. 86-02241) without the benefit of a proper investigation and pursued the case for estafa thru falsification (TBP No. 86-01001) against him: b) it took respondentprosecutor one (1) year to resolve the perjury case and (2) years to dispose of the estafa falsification case; and c) TBP No. 87-03017 which was in November, 1987 is still pending with filed respondent-prosecutor.

In answer to the charges, respondent-prosecutor claims that when the perjury case was assigned to him, the records were already complete, and believing that no other matter required clarification, he evaluated the same and eventually resolved the case. He admits the delay in the resolution of the cases but attributes it to the fact that he is not only an investigating fiscal but also a trial fiscal. He alleges that TBP No. 87-03017 had already been resolved on June 14. 1989. He insists that the instant administrative complaint is spawned by ill-will and resentment, and instituted to harass him.

The recommendation of the Secretary of Justice in imposing the penalty of suspension for one (1) month on respondent-prosecutor is based on his findings which reads:

"We noted, however, of the unreasonable delay in the disposition of the cases filed by the complainant. Records reveal that perjury case (TBP No. 86-02241) filed complainant was re-assigned to the respondentprosecutor on November 16, 1987, per order of the same date, and was resolved by him on June 15, 1988, a period covering seven months or delay of three months beyond the reglementary period. Furthermore, in TBP Case No. 87-03017 falsification of official/public documents, it appears that the case was filed before respondent-prosecutor in November 1987 but was only resolved on June 14, 1989, per certification dated April 16, 1990, submitted by the respondent-prosecutor. The delay incurred beyond the requisite period approximately a year and three months. regard to TBP Case No. 87-02474 filed before respondent-prosecutor, we are led to believe that a delay also occurred in its disposition.

I concur with the findings of the DOJ Secretary.

Respondent-prosecutor's explanation as to the delay in the resolution of the cases assigned to him is untenable.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, respondent 2nd Assistant Provincial Prosecutor Armando V. Cortes is found liable for Neglect of Duty. Accordingly, the penalty of suspension for one (1) month without pay is hereby imposed.

Done in the City of Manila, this 21st day of the in the year of Our Lord, nineteen hundred and ninety-three.

By the President:

Executive Secretary