MALACAÑANG MANILA

irer 19 statist

tin vy Dolar

i i trajit. Tracingati

33

14) 11 - 14

 $\Sigma \Sigma$

and the second

1123

្រុស ភ្លេងនៅរើ

يقدمها التجريري

t on Elay

* 1050 X 22

e te atúl

mine and the

, arcab (GM

अ . य जेस

r og i de Emilia

Lag Alter Are

BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE PHILIPPINES

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 344

SUSPENDING MR. ROMAN A. AVILA FROM OFFICE AS ASSISTANT PROVINCIAL FISCAL OF LEYTE.

This is an administrative case against Assistant Provincial Fiscal Roman A. Avila of Leyte for (1) acts of oppression and conduct unbecoming a public official and (2) engaging in political activities. The case was formally investigated by a senior state prosecutor of the Department of Justice who found respondent guilty of the charge and recommended that he be suspended for one (1) year without pay, in which the Secretary of Justice concurs.

The record discloses that in the early evening of October 25, 1969, while complainant Francisca Cañeda was dining in her home in Barugo, Leyte, with her guests and co-teachers, Miss Genoveva Ayuste and Mesdames Dorotea Adizas and Angeles Costelo, the respondent and Mrs. Ines A. Astorga arrived. After the usual exchange of pleasantries, Mrs. Astorga told the group that they went there to verify whether complainant was really filing charges against respondent's wife at her (Mrs. Astorga's) instigation, to which complainant answered in the negative. Unbelieving, respondent flared up and called her a liar. Cooler heads intervened, but he continued heaping insults and heated exchanges of words ensued. He called all of them names, like "liar," "bastos," etc. At one point he told Miss Ayuste that she was no longer a virgin, as she once had an affair with a man and that the reason he (respondent) did not marry her (Ayuste) was that she was "foolish" - whatever that meant. He likewise challenged complainant and Miss Ayuste to file charges against his wife and arrogantly told them that he was not a bum nor stupid, but a bright and intelligent lawyer and fiscal and would know what to do.

It also appears that respondent did not deny uttering those words, but justified their utterance as made in retaliation. Accordingly, the evidence on the first count remains uncontradicted.

As to the second charge of engaging in political activities, the evidence against respondent is equally clear and convincing. Respondent admitted that in the night of November 11, 1969, while the board of election inspectors of Precinct No. 1-A of Barugo, Leyte, of which complainant was chairman, was convassing the votes, he "cut in to serve the poll clerk my (his) appointment as watcher of Senator Sumulong." Add to this admission the circumstance that his name and signature appeared in the list of watchers present and in the five written protests he filed as watcher. There is, therefore, conclusive evidence that he was present as watcher in that particular night. In his defense, respondent claimed that the Roman Avila who appeared as watcher was his cousin, also named Roman Avila, a radio technician. However, his supposed relative was not presented as witness and no explanation therefor was given. It is therefore reasonable to presume that said person did not exist or, if he did, his testimony would have been adverse.

Neither did he exert any real effort to impugn the genuineness of his signatures appearing in the board's list of watchers and in the record of watchers' protests. His best refutation would have been the specimen of his signature, but he did not give any as he failed to do so, it is again safe to presume that had he done so his specimen signatures would have been against him.

Likewise, respondent tried to establish that he was at the precinct that evening as CNEA representative. As the record stands, there is no evidence that he was legally deputized by the Commission on Elections to intervene in the board proceedings. Hence, the evidence — oral and documentary — that he was there as Senator Sumulong's (or Puyat's) watcher remains unrebutted. Respondent, therefore, stands guilty on both counts.

Respondent's acceptance of an appointment as watcher for a particular candidate and filing written protests on alleged irregularities committed by the board of election inspectors are overt acts of partisan political activities. As a government prosecutor he should know that he cannot legally act as watcher of a political candidate or of a political party.

Wherefore, and as recommended by the Secretary of Justice and the investigator, Mr. Roman A. Avila is hereby suspended from office for one (1) year without pay, effective upon receipt of a copy of this order. He is also warned that repetition of the same or similar offenses will be dealt with more severely.

Done in the City of Manila, this 12th day of October, in the year of Our Lord, nineteen hundred and seventy-two.

By the President:

Zmuon RB

RONALDOSB: ZAMORA Assistant Executive Secretary